

Community Liaison Committee Minutes of Meeting

Date:	September 23, 2010	Next Meeting:	October 28, 2010
Time:	12pm to 2pm	Time:	12pm to 2pm
Location:	BC Transit Board Room	Location:	CRD Board Room – 6 th Floor
Purpose:	Meeting #14: Review of materials for October Open House		
Attendees:	<p>Community Liaison Committee Allan Murphy, VIHA Dan Pollock, Uvic Graduate Students Society Patrick O'Connor, Greater Victoria Cycling Coalition Irwin Henderson, Island Transformations John Luton, Capital Bike and Walk Society Kathryn Le Gros, Camosun Mohan Kang, Taxi Association of Victoria Sheila Brown, BC Ferries (Alternate) Rob Bennet, Greater Victoria Chamber of Commerce (Alternate) Jeff Miller, Township of Esquimalt</p> <p>Project Team Erinn Pinkerton, BC Transit Adrian South, BC Transit Robert Broe, Pacific Liaison Jack Stuempel, Jack Stuempel & Associates Santino Pirillo, BC Transit/McElhanney Ashok Bhatti, Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure</p>	<p>Regrets Adam Gant, League Assets Corporation (City Centre Colwood) Barb Desjardins, Esquimalt Community Rep Bev Highton, Association of Douglas Street Businesses Bill Draper, Island Transformations Brian Bonney, CFIB Brian Tucknott, Colwood Community Rep Dan Gunn, VIATECH Darrell Wick, Saanich Community Rep David Wilson, Central Saanich Community Rep Doug Backhouse, Island Corridor Foundation Doug Robinson, View Royal Community Rep Edward Pullman, Uvic Student Society Erik Kaye, Victoria Community Rep Geoff Pearce, Citizens for Commuter Rail Jeremy Watney, ITO Jim Carson, Carson Development Group Association Jim Hartshorn, Westshore Development John Espley, Greater Victoria Chamber of Commerce John Harris, Metchosin Community Rep John Manson, Langford Community Rep Ken Kelly, Downtown Victoria Business Association Michelle Mahovlich, Langford Community Rep Michael McWhinnie, DND Mike Wicks, West Shore Chamber of Commerce Pat Danforth, Accessible Transportation Advisory Committee Paul McDaniel, BC Ferries Randall Garrison, Esquimalt Councillor Tracy Olsen, North Saanich Community Rep Terry Stewart, Victoria Airport Authority Travis Lee, Urban Development Institute Representative: West Shore Chamber of Commerce Neill Connelly, UVic Todd Litman, Victoria Transportation Institute</p>	

Attachments: Open House Presentation Board Materials

	Description	Action Items
<p>1.0</p>	<p>Call to Order & Activity Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Erinn Pinkerton called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m. ▪ Erinn summarized some recent and upcoming activities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Langford has approved the extension of the rapid transit alignment along Goldstream to Station Avenue and Westhills. ○ Colwood has endorsed a curbside arrangement, is also interested in the side-running concept as an alternative. ○ Saanich Council endorsement to be sought October 18. ○ Meetings occurring with several community associations; receiving general support for the rapid transit concept ○ Business survey has been completed. ○ Transit Future bus is circulating; has hosted 3,800 people to date; lots of support and interaction ○ Field trip was undertaken to Baltimore to see side-running configuration in operation. Valuable insight provided by transit authority on elements of success. 	
<p>2.0</p>	<p>Review of Presentation Panels for Open House</p> <p>Erinn and Jack presented the draft panels for the October 5 and 6 open houses.</p> <p>Comments from the CLC included the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PANEL 1: Detailed Alignment for Rapid Transit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 2: Three Layout Options <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Is there any way to break up the text? What's there looks too wordy. ○ Increase the size of the text font describing the alignment type. • PANEL 3: Segment A – Langford To Colwood City Centre Section <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 4: Segment A – Colwood City Centre to Six Mile, Curbside Option <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Artists renderings to be inserted with the original pictures when they are ready. • PANEL 4: Segment A – Colwood City Centre to Six Mile, Side Running Option <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 5: Segment B – Six Mile to Uptown <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 6: Segment C – Uptown to Downtown, Douglas Curbside <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 7: Segment C – Uptown to Downtown, Douglas Median <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 8: Segment C – Uptown to Downtown, Douglas Side Running <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. • PANEL 9: Segment C – Uptown to Downtown, Douglas-Blanshard Couplet 	

	Description	Action Items
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. ● PANEL 10: Segment C – Uptown to Downtown, Douglas-Government Couplet <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. ● PANEL 11: Travel Time Comparison Victoria – Langford 2010-2040 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Need to clarify and more strongly emphasize the rapid transit advantage message. ● PANEL 12: Choosing Our Future <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ This slide has a large amount of information on it, making it hard for the reader to focus on and digest what is being presented. ○ The picture of the BC Transit bus and the HandyDart bus in the traffic lineup sends a powerful message. Can this picture be increased in size or moved elsewhere, to magnify the effect? ● PANEL 13: Choosing Our Future: Alternative 1 (BAU) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ GHG Emissions graph should be highlighted more. Perhaps the title could be changed to a more descriptive one? ○ The BAU bars should be further differentiated, perhaps a separate color. ○ Electric Tram could be misinterpreted as streetcar, should be clear that it's rail. ● PANEL 14: Choosing Our Future: Alternative #2 (BRT) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Need to add cost to the table, plus expected service life. ○ Under the currently operated row, the city names are bunched up. Maybe bullet points or some other format would be better? ● PANEL 15: Choosing Our Future: Alternative #3 (Streetcar/Tram) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Can we add a caption over the Bombardier tram photo to indicate it was the Olympics demo? ○ Add expected life as was suggested for the BRT slide. Is it also possible to add cost to capacity ratio, and difference in operating costs? ○ Perhaps some color formatting for the chart, to differentiate between the LRT and street car options? ○ Some proof reading required on the chart details, some errors noted. ● PANEL 16: Rapid Transit and Local Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No comments. ● PANEL 17: Next Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No Comments 	
3.0	<p>Meeting Adjourned</p> <p>Meeting Adjourned at 2:00.</p>	